

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/752,210		12/29/2000	Fred S. Cook	1406	9093	
28004	7590	06/10/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
SPRINT			KANG, PAUL H			
6391 SPRIN KSOPHT010				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
OVERLANI	PARK,	KS 66251-2100	2141			
				DATE MAILED: 06/10/200	DATE MAILED: 06/10/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/752,210	COOK, FRED S.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Paul H. Kang	2141		

Advisory Action	09/752,210	COOK, FRED 3.						
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Paul H. Kang	2141						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add						
THE REPLY FILED 26 May 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP		•	, 333					
			andonment of					
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. 								
b) A The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th		,	r is later. In no					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)					
	-1:	. Zii						
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS								
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because								
(a)⊠ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b)☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);								
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for								
appeal; and/or (d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	iected claims						
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		-	33(a))					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	NOTE: the newly added limitations require further consideration and search. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).							
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	•							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	•	•	· ·					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		rill be entered and an	explanation of					
Claim(s) allowed: <u>None</u> .								
Claim(s) objected to: <u>None</u> .		•						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-24</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>None</u> .	× ·	t						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	•							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	:hed.					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by applicants argue limitations which have not been entered	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)						
13. Other:		, 1						
•	//	/ 1/	,					
	/ /	PAUL H. KANG						
	PRIMA	RY PATENT EX	AMINER					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 060705